

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 117 167

TM 005 022

AUTHOR Hyatt, Marjorie L.
TITLE Student and Teacher Activity Checklists for Use in Classrooms of the Hearing Impaired.
SPONS AGENCY Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Washington, D.C.
PUB DATE Jun 75
NOTE 31p.; Paper presented at the Meeting of American Instructors of the Deaf (Greensboro, N.C., June 1975); Marginal legibility in some of tables presented in appendices

EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.76 HC-\$1.95 Plus Postage
DESCRIPTORS *Check Lists; *Classroom Observation Techniques; Communication (Thought Transfer); Deaf Education; *Hard of Hearing; Interaction Process Analysis; Statistical Analysis; *Student Behavior; Student Teacher Relationship; *Teacher Behavior
IDENTIFIERS District of Columbia; *Model Secondary School for the Deaf

ABSTRACT

Utilization of two checklists for recording observed student and teacher classroom behaviors is the main topic of this report. The format, sections, procedures, and methods of analysis of the checklists are discussed. These checklists were used with 40 students and 4 teachers in an on-going, two year research project at the Model Secondary School for the Deaf. The report includes some of the findings obtained from the use of the instruments. (Author)

* Documents acquired by ERIC include many informal unpublished *
* materials not available from other sources. ERIC makes every effort *
* to obtain the best copy available. Nevertheless, items of marginal *
* reproducibility are often encountered and this affects the quality *
* of the microfiche and hardcopy reproductions ERIC makes available *
* via the ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS). EDRS is not *
* responsible for the quality of the original document. Reproductions *
* supplied by EDRS are the best that can be made from the original. *

STUDENT AND TEACHER ACTIVITY CHECKLISTS FOR USE IN CLASSROOMS OF THE HEARING IMPAIRED

Marjorie L. Hyatt

Abstract

Utilization of two checklists for recording observed student and teacher classroom behaviors is the main topic of this report. The format, sections, procedures, and methods of analysis of the checklists are discussed. These checklists were used with 40 students and 4 teachers in an on-going, two year research project at the Model Secondary School for the Deaf. The report includes some of the findings obtained from the use of the instruments.

¹Produced with funds from the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, P.L. 89-694. However, the opinion or policies expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the United States Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Student and Teacher Activity Checklists for Use in Classrooms of the Hearing Impaired

Introduction

Craig and Collins (1969 and 1970) have developed and used a classroom observation system based on Flander's Interaction Analysis. With the Craig and Collins system an observer records 20 categories of teacher or student initiated expressive and receptive behavior and 11 modes of communication. In-depth studies of student and teacher communication patterns are possible with this system. However, a total perspective of classroom interaction is lacking. Some behaviors are encouraged because of a variety of factors such as the classroom environment, types of materials used, and the size of the class. This system does not address itself to behaviors occurring simultaneously with other factors.

Craig and Holman developed the Pittsburgh Revised Interaction Analysis (1973) which would enable the observer the record descriptions of classroom situations, initiated interaction, and communication. Using this system, perspectives on the behaviors and activities of an entire class are possible. However, individual student and teacher behaviors are not recorded with this system.

Two classroom activity checklists which combine different variables from all three domains--materials, interaction, and communication modes--can be recorded simultaneously on single sheets were developed by the Office of Research and Evaluation (ORE) of the Model Secondary School for the Deaf (MSSD). The purpose of this report is to trace the history, characteristics, and on-going development of these instruments.

Instrumentation

A search through *Mirrors for Behavior: An Anthology of Classroom Instruments* found sources for revising and developing suitable checklists for assessing individual student and teacher classroom behaviors.

Originally developed for use in classrooms utilizing individualized instruction, the Student Activity Profile (SAP) by Honigman and Stephens (1969) had as its main purpose the assessment of a comprehensive set of student behavior. At the MSSD, the SAP has been revised to assess individual student behaviors (Appendix A). From the same data, entire classroom behaviors could also be charted.

The SAP is divided into four main components each with several sub-components. The checklists are listed below:

Materials +

| <u>Hardware</u> | <u>Read</u> | <u>Write</u> |
|-----------------|--|------------------------------|
| Cassette | Scans seriously | Writing in LAP |
| Language Master | Reading in Learning Activity Package (LAP) | Writing other task materials |
| Slide Projector | Reading other task materials | Writing in workbook |
| Filmstrip | Reading non-task materials | Writing non-task materials |
| Film | | |
| Game | | |
| Materials | | |

2. Interaction

| <u>Student</u> | <u>Teacher</u> |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Talk to other student - task | To teacher - gives fact |

| <u>Student</u> | <u>Teacher</u> |
|---|----------------------------------|
| Talk to other student - social | To teacher - gives opinion |
| Group Discussion - task | To teacher - seeks information |
| Group Discussion - social | To teacher - seeks clarification |
| Interrupts (bothers teacher or student) | To teacher - hostile and other |

3. Communication*

Signs, no voice

Signs and voice

Voice, no signs

No verbal interaction

4. Passive

| <u>Learning</u> | <u>Non-Learning</u> |
|-------------------------|--|
| Watching/Listening task | Resting/Watching non-task |
| | Staring, limited Random Motor Activity (RMA) |
| | Gross RMA, wandering around |
| | Waiting: for teacher or materials |
| | Maintenance: sharpen pencil, etc. |
| | Misc. (including Testing) |

+For the materials section only, data observed could be recorded with the following symbols: U=Used, Un=Unused, D=Diddling with.

*Communication modes were added for the purpose of studying hearing impaired populations.

An example of observed student behavior could include "1" at "Slide Projector," "Writing in LAP," "Group Discussion Task" and "Signs, no Voice."

The Teacher Activity Profile (TAP) was developed from the "Verbal Interaction Category System" by Amidon and Hunter (1966). (Appendix B).

Their system is divided into 12 categories of student or teacher initiated interactions that are applied to a matrix for analysis on the amount, order, and pattern of verbal interaction occurring in a classroom.

The ORE-developed TAP was designed to record individual teacher behaviors, activities, and communication modes occurring simultaneously. The format of the TAP resembles the SAP and the components are:

1. Type of Activity *

| | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Discussion | Reading/Writing |
| Lecture | Recordkeeping |
| Quizzing/testing | Asking questions |
| Explaining routine or procedures | Talking with another teacher |
| Listening/Observing | Social interaction |
| Socializing | Interaction re-routine |
| Answering questions | Academic interaction |
| Reprimanding | Other |

2. Mode of Communication

Signs, no Voice
Signs and Voice
Voice, no Signs

3. Subject Area

Math
Science
Social Studies
Language/Communication
Integrated Subjects
Other

4. Activity Level

Very Active

Active

Inactive

5. Feedback

Positive

Negative

Maintaining

*Data recorded in Type of Activity section only could be marked in one of the following ways: W=Whole group, S=Subgroup, I=Individual, N=No student interaction.

Design

Prior to observing subjects, the observer inserted individual student and teacher names on separate SAP and TAP sheets contained in a notebook. The observer entered a classroom as unobtrusively as possible and stood or sat where the action could be seen clearly. The round number was recorded by the observer as he checked in the appropriate boxes whatever behavior was exhibited by an individual. The observer continued to scan and observe every individual's behavior in the room during that particular round.

When round one was completed, the observer started another round and proceeded in the same manner to record individual behaviors. Three rounds were considered to be sufficient for one observation session. For a group of 25 individuals, approximately 20 minutes were required to record three rounds. The observer planned to observe three class sessions a week at different times of the day.

The SAP and TAP were pilot tested with an Experimental and a Comparison group at the MSSD. Four experimental group teachers were observed with the TAP.

The experiment was an attempt at self-contained, personalized, and integrated curriculum within the greater open school concept of the MSSD. The Comparison group was utilized as a matched representative sample from the open school. One way of assessing the effectiveness of the experiment was to use observation checklists. Tables 1, 2, and 3 show the age, sex, and SAT Paragraph Meaning score distributions of the Experimental and Comparison groups.

Results

Analysis of the results revealed more than 180 significant biserial correlation coefficients ($p < .05$); with more than 50 correlations for the Experimental group ($N=20$), more than 80 correlations for the Comparison group ($N=20$), and more than 50 correlations for the Experimental teaching staff ($N=4$). Some of the findings are summarized in Tables 4, 5, and 6. The computer correlations for the SAP materials section were based on students who used (1) or did not use (0) the materials during each round. The correlations for the TAP Type of Activity section were based on teachers who were engaged in whole group classroom activities (1) or did not engage in whole group activities (0) during each round.

A frequency count of the students at particular activities revealed some differences between the Experimental and Comparison groups. Table 7 lists the percentages of time the Experimental and Comparison group students were observed at different activities. Some of the differences are pointed out below:

1. Both the Experimental and Comparison group students indicated no verbal interaction approximately 50% of the time.
2. The Comparison group read in their Learning Activity Package (LAP) 29% of the time as compared to the Experimental group (17%).

3. The Experimental and Comparison groups of students wrote in their LAPs 15% of the time.

4. The Comparison group waited for their teachers or materials 21% of the time as compared to 10% of the time for the Experimental students.

5. The Comparison group exhibited Gross Random Motor Activity (RMA) 15% of the time compared to the Experimental group's 5% of the time.

Table 8 shows individual Experimental group characteristics observed more than 25% of the time in the classroom. For example, the first student was observed reading and writing in his LAP as well as having no verbal interaction 25% of the time. Also, some students in the Experimental group were observed at particular activities more than one Standard Deviation above the group means for each activity meaning that they showed the particular behaviors more often than the others in the group.

Table 9 reveals individual teacher characteristics of the Experimental group observed more than 25% of the time. Teachers observed at activities more than one Standard Deviation above the group means are reported.

Table 10 shows the characteristics of the Experimental teachers as a group observed more than 25% of the time in the classroom.

t-tests on the Experimental and Comparison groups' means of selected SAP activity variables were calculated. Six means of the Experimental and Comparison groups were significantly different at $p < .05$. They are the following:

1. The Comparison group students tended to spend more time reading in their LAPs than the Experimental group students.

2. The Experimental group students tended to give their teachers more opinions than the Comparison group.

3. The Comparison group tended to exhibit more social behaviors to their teachers than the Experimental group students.

4. The Comparison group tended to exhibit gross random motor activity more than the Experimental group students.

5. The Comparison group tended to spend more time waiting for their teachers or materials than the Experimental group student.

Discussion

Analysis of the SAP results can reveal communication/interaction patterns which may be the result of influences of program objectives; teacher attitudes and expectations; and environmental restrictions and their implications on movement and expression. The Experimental group met for classes in a one-room building while the Comparison group enjoyed the larger facilities of the open classroom building. Some of the correlations, frequency counts, and t-tests regarding student/teacher interaction and modes of communication appeared to reflect on the aforementioned influences.

The materials used, both hardware or software, may also have direct influences on certain types of behaviors and interaction. Thus, correlations with materials and interaction need to be examined.

Differences in group and individual on-task and off-task behavior may offer clues toward subtle patterns when combined or correlated with other variables on the checklists.

The TAP can present insights into teacher behavior that are not easily identified. Again, factors influencing teacher behaviors are similar in nature to those influencing student behaviors. Certain behaviors were observed of the Experimental group teachers. In-depth studies could be conducted to determine desirable or undesirable traits of individual teachers.

Conclusion and Recommendations

The modified checklists for observing and recording student and teacher classroom behavior in classrooms of the hearing impaired were relatively easy to implement. The training of qualified observers to utilize the checklists would only require several practice sessions in the classroom. Thus, they could be adapted for use in other schools and classes of the hearing impaired.

Results from the data obtained on classroom behaviors could be used to assess individual or entire class behavior for traditional, open, or individualized instruction systems. Data can be analyzed in various ways with biserial correlations, frequency counts, and t-tests. Interpretations could be derived from these results to explain classroom behavior in terms of classroom environment and goals set. These results would also assist in the establishment of strategies to facilitate the learning process in classrooms of the hearing impaired.

It is important to note here the preliminary nature of these conclusions and that such a condition is due not only to the lack of replication, but also to the need for more adequate research and development on the instruments. Specifically, at least four basic tasks are suggested.

1. Tests on the reliability and validity of the checklists need to be conducted.
2. Further analysis of Experimental and Comparison group data using canonical correlations should be done. Several aspects of student and teacher behavior need clarification. For example, the clarification of students/teachers that exhibit specific behaviors and when these specific behaviors occur and effect certain communication modes.
3. The SAP and TAP require reformatting to facilitate increased accuracy and information loading in recording observed behavior.
4. A manual of instructions to accompany the SAP and TAP is required.

APPENDIX A

STUDENT ACTIVITY PROFILE (SAP)

Name of Student _____ I.D. of Class Area _____
 Name of Observer _____ Date _____
 Time Obs. Began _____ Time Obs. Completed _____

[illegible]

STUDENT ACTIVITY PROFILE (SAP)

Name of Student _____
Name of Observer _____
Time Obs. Began _____

I.D. of Class Area _____
Date _____
Time Obs. Completed _____

[illegible]

* U=Used Un=Unused D=Diddling With

STUDENT ACTIVITY PROFILE (SAP)

(CONTINUED)

| | | Round # | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Activity | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| I N T E R A C T I O N | T E A C H E R | To Teacher - gives fact | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | To Teacher - gives opinion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | To Teacher - seeks information | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | To Teacher - seeks clarification | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | To Teacher - social | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | To Teacher - hostile & other | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C O M M U N | | Signs, no voice | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Sign and voice | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Voice, no signs | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | No verbal interaction | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| P A S S I V E | I N G N O N L E A R I N G | Watching/Listening (Task) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Resting/Watching (Non-Task) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Staring, Ltd RMA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Gross RMA, Wandering around | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Waiting: for teacher or materials | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Maintenance: sharpen pencil, etc. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Misc. (Incl. Testing) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

* U=Used Un=Unused D=Diddling With

APPENDIX B

TEACHER ACTIVITY PROFILE

Teacher _____

Time Observation Began _____

Water _____

Time Observation Completed _____

Date _____

~~W=Whole Group~~

~~S=Subgroup~~

I=Individual Student

N=No Student Interaction

[illegible]

TEACHER ACTIVITY PROFILE

Teacher _____

Time Observation Began _____

Rater _____

Time Observation Completed _____

Date _____

W=Whole Group

S=Subgroup

I=Individual Student

N=No Student Interaction

[illegible]

TEACHER ACTIVITY PROFILE

(CONTINUED)

| Type of Activity | Round # |
|------------------|---------|
| Subject Area: | |
| Math | |
| Science | |
| S. S. | |
| Language/Commun. | |
| Integrated Subj. | |
| Other | |
| Activity | |
| Level | |
| Very Active | |
| Active | |
| Inactive | |
| Feedback | |
| Positive | |
| Negative | |
| Maintaining | |

TABLE 1
Age¹ Distribution of Experimental and Comparison Students

| Age | Experimental Group | | Comparison Group | |
|---------------|--------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | N | % | N | % |
| 13.3-13.9 | 1 | 5.0 | 4 | 20.0 |
| 14.0-14.9 | 5 | 30.0 | 7 | 35.0 |
| 15.0-15.9 | 2 | 10.0 | 2 | 10.0 |
| 16.0-16.9 | 11 | 55.0 | 7 | 35.0 |
| Mean | 15.66 | | 15.09 | |
| St. Deviation | 1.13 | | 1.21 | |

¹Age as of September, 1973

TABLE 2
Sex of Experimental and Comparison Students

| Sex | Experimental Group | | Comparison Group | |
|--------|--------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | N | % | N | % |
| Male | 8 | 40.0 | 9 | 45.0 |
| Female | 12 | 60.0 | 11 | 55.0 |

TABLE 3
Paragraph Meaning Scores (in grade equivalence) of the S.A.T.¹
For The Experimental and Comparison Students

| Score | Experimental Group | | Comparison Group | |
|---------------|--------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | N | % | N | % |
| 2.1-2.9 | 2 | 10.0 | 2 | 10.0 |
| 3.0-3.9 | 6 | 30.0 | 4 | 20.0 |
| 4.0-4.9 | 7 | 35.0 | 8 | 40.0 |
| 5.0-5.9 | 3 | 15.0 | 3 | 15.0 |
| 6.0-6.9 | 1 | 5.0 | 1 | 5.0 |
| 7.0-7.9 | 1 | 5.0 | 2 | 10.0 |
| Mean | 4.41 | | 4.42 | |
| St. Deviation | 1.29 | | 1.30 | |

¹Scores on Sept., 1973 testing

TABLE 4

Significant* Biserial Correlations of Interaction and Communication-Passive Variables for Experimental (EG) and Comparison Groups (CG).

| COMMUNICATION - PASSIVE | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Signs, no voice | Sign and voice | Voice, no signs | Watching/Listening (Task) | Gross RMA, Wandering around |
| Talk to other student - task | +EG | | | | |
| Talk to other student - social | +EG +CG | | | -EG | +CG |
| Group discussion - task | | +EG | | +CG | |
| Group discussion - social | +EG | +CG | | | |
| Interrupts (bothers teacher or student) | | | | | +CG |
| To Teacher - gives fact | | +EG | +EG +CG | | |
| To Teacher - gives opinion | | +EG +CG | +EG | | |
| To Teacher - seeks information | +CG | | +EG | | |
| To Teacher - seeks clarification | | | +EG +CG | | |
| To Teacher - social | +CG | +CG | +EG | | |
| To Teacher - hostile & others | +CG | | | | +CG |

* $p < .05$

+ = positive correlations

- = negative correlations

TABLE 5

Significant* Biserial Correlations of Materials
and Reading-Writing-Interaction Variables for
Experimental (EG) and Comparison Groups (CG).

Reading-Writing-Interaction

| Hardware | Scans seriously (as initial activity) | Writing in workbook | Talk to other student (Task) | Talk to other student (Social) | Group discussion (Task) | Group discussion (Social) | To Teacher gives opinion |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cassette | | | + CG | + CG | | | |
| Slide Projector | + EG + CG | | + CG | | + EG | + CG | + CG |
| Filmstrip | + EG | | | | | | |
| Film | | | + CG | | | | |
| Blackboard | + EG | | + CG | | + CG | | |
| T.V. | + CG | | | | | | |
| Slides | + EG + CG | | + CG | | + EG | + CG | + EG + CG |
| Overhead | + CG | | | | + CG | + CG | |
| Typewriter | | + CG | + CG | | | | |

*p < .05

+ = positive correlations

- = negative correlations

TABLE 6

Significant* Biserial Correlations of
Different Variables on the TAP for the
Experimental Group Teachers.

| | TAP | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Explaining Routine or Procedures | Listening/Observing | Answering Questions | Asking Questions Talking with another Teacher | Interaction Routine | Academic Interaction | Signs and Voice | Mathematics | Integrated Subject | Very Active | Active | Inactive | Positive | Negative |
| Discussion (Academic) | - | | + | | | | - | | + | | | | | |
| Lecture | | | | | | | | | + | | | | | + |
| Quizzing/Testing | | | | | | | + | | + | | | + | | - |
| Explaining Routine or Procedures | - | + | + | + | | | | | | | | | + | |
| Listening/Observing | | - | | | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| Socializing | | | | | | | + | | | | | | | |
| Answering Questions (Academic) | | | | | + | | + | | + | | | + | | |
| Record Keeping | | | | | | | | | | | | + | | - |
| Social Interactions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - |
| Interaction Re-routine | | | | | + | | + | | | + | | | | |
| Academic Interaction | | | | | + | + | | | | | | | | + |
| Signs, No Voice | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | |
| Math | | | | | | | - | + | | | | | | |
| Integrated Subjects | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | + | | | | |
| Very Active | | | | | | | | | - | - | + | | | - |
| Active | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | + |
| Inactive | | | + | | | | | | | | | + | | |

*p < .05

+ = positive correlations

- = negative correlations

TABLE 7

Experimental and Comparison Group
Percentages of Time Observed at Particular Activities

| <u>Activities</u> | <u>EGZ</u> | <u>CGZ</u> |
|---|------------|------------|
| No verbal interaction | 55 | 49 |
| Watching/Listening Task | 27 | 20 |
| Signs no voice | 19 | 22 |
| Reading in LAP | 17 | 29 |
| <u>Talk with other student-social</u> | <u>16</u> | <u>18</u> |
| Writing in LAP | 15 | 15 |
| Group discussion-task | 11 | 11 |
| Sign and voice | 11 | 07 |
| Waiting for teacher and materials | 10 | 21 |
| <u>To teacher - gives opinion</u> | <u>09</u> | <u>05</u> |
| Resting/watching (non-task) | 09 | 10 |
| Scans seriously (as initial activity | 08 | 11 |
| Blackboard | 07 | 00 |
| Slide projector | 06 | 00 |
| T.V. | 06 | 01 |
| Talk to other student- task | 06 | 04 |
| Gross RMA, wandering around | 05 | 15 |
| Slides | 04 | 00 |
| Filmstrip | 03 | 00 |
| <u>Writing other task materials</u> | <u>03</u> | <u>02</u> |
| Writing in workbook | 03 | 02 |
| Writing non-task materials | 03 | 01 |
| Staring, limited RMA | 03 | 07 |
| Reading other task materials | 02 | 05 |
| <u>Reading non-task materials</u> | <u>02</u> | <u>02</u> |
| Group discussion - social | 02 | 02 |
| Interrupts (bothers teacher or student) | 02 | 03 |
| To teacher- gives fact | 02 | 02 |
| To teacher- seeks information | 02 | 04 |
| <u>To teacher- seeks clarification</u> | <u>02</u> | <u>04</u> |
| Voice no signs | 02 | 00 |
| Miscellaneous materials | 01 | 09 |
| To teacher social | 01 | 09 |
| To teacher hostile | 01 | 02 |
| <u>Cassette</u> | <u>00</u> | <u>00</u> |
| Language Master | 00 | 00 |
| Film | 00 | 00 |
| Game | 00 | 00 |
| Overhead | 00 | 06 |
| Typewriter | 00 | 06 |
| Maintenance; sharpen pencil, etc. | 00 | 08 |
| <u>Misc. (include testing)</u> | <u>00</u> | <u>00</u> |
| \bar{X} | 6.54 | 7.42 |
| SD | 9.67 | 9.62 |
| Total # of cases | 496 | 209 |

TABLE 8

INDIVIDUAL EXPERIMENTAL STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS
FROM STUDENT ACTIVITY PROFILE DATA

| Experimental Student # | Observed at Particular Activities More than 25% of Time | Observed at Particular Activities more than 1.0 SD Above the Mean |
|---------------------------|---|---|
| 1 | Reading in LAP Writing in LAP No Verbal Interaction | |
| 2 | Writing in LAP No Verbal Interaction | |
| 3 | Reading in LAP Writing in LAP No Verbal Interaction | |
| 4 | Reading in LAP Writing Non-Task Materials No Verbal Interaction | Writing Non-Task Materials Interrupts (bothers Teacher or Students) |
| 5 | No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | Resting/Watching (Non-Task) |
| 6 | Scans seriously (as initial activity) No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | Scans seriously (as initial activity) |
| 7 | Reading in LAP No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |
| 8 | Signs, No Voice No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |

TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)

| Experimental Student # | Observed at Particular Activities More than 25% of Time | Observed at Particular Activities more than 1.0 SD Above the Mean |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 9 | Talk to Other Student - Social Signs, No Voice No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |
| 10 | No Verbal Interaction | |
| 11 | Group Discussion - Task Signs, No Voice No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |
| 12 | Talk to Other Student - Social Signs, No Voice | |
| 13 | No Verbal Interaction | |
| 14 | No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |
| 15 | No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |
| 16 | Reading Other Task Materials Talk to Other Student - Social Signs, No Voice Resting/Watching (Non-Task) Waiting: for Teacher or Materials | Reading Other Task Materials To Teacher - Seeks Information Resting/Watching (Non-Task) |

TABLE 8 (CONTINUED)

| Experimental Student # | Observed at Particular Activities More than 25% of time | Observed at Particular Activities more than 1.0 SD Above the Mean |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| 17 | No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |
| 18 | No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) Resting/Watching (Non-Task) | Resting/Watching (Non-Task) |
| 19 | Signs, No Voice No Verbal Interaction | |
| 20 | Talk to Other Student - Social No Verbal Interaction Watching/Listening (Task) | |

TABLE 9
Individual Experimental Teacher Characteristics
From Teacher Activity Profile

| Experimental Teacher # | Observed at Particular Activities More than 25% of the Time | Observed at Particular Activities More than 1.0 SD Above the Mean |
|---------------------------|--|--|
| 1 | Discussion, Explaining Routine, Listening/Observing, Answering Questions, Academic Interaction Signs and Voice, Integrated Sub- ject, Active, Maintaining | Discussion |
| 2 | Discussion, Listening/Observing, Answering Questions, Reading/ Writing, Asking Questions, Signs No Voice, Integrated Subject, Active, Maintaining, News (Other) | Discussion, Reading/Writing |
| 3 | Listening/Observing, Answering Questions, Reading/Writing, Talking with Another Teacher, Interaction re Routine, Signs and Voice, Math, Integrated Subject, Active, Maintaining | Explaining Routine, Reading/Writing |
| 4 | Listening/Observing, Answering Questions, Academic Interaction, Signs and Voice, Integrated Sub- ject, Myth and Community, Active, Maintaining | |

TABLE 10

Experimental Teacher Characteristics Observed
More than 25% of the Time in the Classroom

Activity

Explaining Routine or procedures
Listening/Observing
Answering Questions (Academic)
Reading/Writing
Academic Interaction
Signs and Voice
Integrated Subject
Active
Maintaining

References

Craig, W. N. and Collins, J. L. Communication patterns in classes for deaf students, final report. Pittsburg: Pittsburg University, School of Education, June 1969.

Craig, W. N. and Collins, J. L. Analysis of communication interaction in classes for deaf children. American Annals for the Deaf, 1970 115 (2), 79-85.

Craig, W. N. and Holman, G. L. The "open classroom" in a school for the deaf. American Annals for the Deaf, 1973, 118 (6), 675-685.

Simon, A. and Boyer, E. G. (Eds.). Mirrors for behavior: an anthology of classroom observation instruments (Vols. 1-6). Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools, 1967.

Simon, A. and Boyer, E. G. (Eds.) Mirrors for behavior: an anthology of classroom observation instruments. Supplementary Vols. A and B. Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools, 1970.

Simon, A. and Boyer, E. G. (Eds.) Mirrors for behavior: an anthology of classroom observation instruments (Vols. 7-14) and Summary. Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools, 1970.

Honigman, F. K. and Stephens, J. B. Student activity profile. In A. Simon and E. G. Boyer (Eds.), Mirrors for behavior: an anthology of classroom observation instruments (Mirrors #48). Philadelphia: Research for Better Schools, 1970.

Amidon, E. J. and Hunter, E. Verbal interaction catagory system. In A. Simon and E. G. Boyer (Eds.), Mirrors for behavior: an anthology of classroom observation instruments (Mirrors #1) Philadelphia for Better Schools, 1967.